IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

JEFFERY MOTLUCK, Plaintiffs, v. DAVID RANDOLPH SMITH & ASSOCIATES, et al. Defendants. Plaintiffs, Civil No. 3:20-cv-0634 Judge Campbell/Frensle	MATTHEW PECK AND)	
v.) Civil No. 3:20-cv-0634 Judge Campbell/Frenslo DAVID RANDOLPH SMITH &) ASSOCIATES, et al .)	JEFFERY MOTLUCK,)	
) Judge Campbell/Frensle DAVID RANDOLPH SMITH &) ASSOCIATES, et al .)	Plaintiffs,)	
) Judge Campbell/Frensle DAVID RANDOLPH SMITH &) ASSOCIATES, et al .))	
DAVID RANDOLPH SMITH &) ASSOCIATES, et al .)	v.)	Civil No. 3:20-cv-0634
ASSOCIATES, et al.)	Judge Campbell/Frensley
	DAVID RANDOLPH SMITH &)	
Defendants.	ASSOCIATES, et al .)	
)	

ORDER

Pending before the Court is a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint filed by Defendants in this matter on November 5, 2020. Docket No. 12. Under Local Rule 7.01(a)(3) and the intervening holiday, a response was due by November 30, 2020. The docket shows that the Plaintiffs have not filed a response in opposition to the motion. The Court must therefore determine whether the Plaintiffs have abandoned their claims.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) "confers on district courts the authority to dismiss an action for failure of a Plaintiff to prosecute the claim or comply with the Rules or any order of the Court. *Schaffer v. City of Defiance Police Department*, 529 F. 3d 731, 736 (6th Cir. 2008)(*citing Knoll v. AT & T*, 176 F. 3d 359, 362-3 (6th Cir. 1999)); *Carpenter v. City of Flint*, 723 F. 3d 700, 704 (6th Cir. 2013)("It is well settled that a district court has the authority to dismiss *sua sponte* a lawsuit for failure to prosecute."). Similarly, this Court's Local Rules provides that "[c]ivil suits that have been pending for an unreasonable period of time without any action having been taken by any party may be summarily dismissed . . . without prejudice to refile or to move the Court to set aside the order of dismissal for just cause." Local Rule 41.01(a)(dismissal for unreasonable

delay. Dismissal for failure to prosecute is a tool for district courts to manage their dockets and avoid unnecessary burdens on opposing parties and the judiciary. *See Schaffer*, 529 F. 3d at 736 (*quoting Knoll*, 176 F. 3d at 363).

Accordingly, Plaintiffs are Ordered to show cause by December 30, 2020, why their claims should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute or for the reasons stated in the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

The Plaintiffs are forewarned that failure to respond to this Order may lead to a recommendation that their claims be dismissed

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JEFFERY S. FRENSLEY United States Magistrate Judge

2